

Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 1 of 8

		Janu	ary 21, 2014	
	CA	PTION		
Patsy Lance, Administr v.	atrix for the Estate of Catherine Ruth Lan	ce, Deceased, Appel	lee	
	as American Home Products Corporation	ı, Appellant		
	CASE IN	FORMATION		
Initiating Document:	Order Granting Petition for Allowance	of Appeal		
Case Status: Journal Number:	Decided/Active J-69A-2011 September 13, 2011			
Case Category:	Civil	Case Type(s):	Tort	
cc	ONSOLIDATED CASES		RELATED CASES	
Docket No / Reason	Туре			
18 EAP 2011 Court Order	Consolidated	_		
	COUNSEL	INFORMATION		
Attorney:	Sachse, Friedrich Wilhelm W. Dechert LLP			
Address:	Dechert Llp 2929 Arch St Philadelphia, PA 191042808			
Phone No: Representing:	(215) 994-2496 Wyeth, Appellant			
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No			
Attorney:	Heim, Robert C.			
Address:	Dechert LLP Cira Centre 2929 Arch St Philadelphia, PA 191042808			
Phone No: Representing:	(215) 994-4000 Wyeth, Appellant			
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No			
Attorney:	Leone, Judy L.			
Address:	Dechert LLP 2929 Arch St Philadelphia, PA 19104			
Phone No: Representing:	(215) 994-2979 Wyeth, Appellant			
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No			



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 2 of 8

	COUNSEL INFORMATION
Attorney:	Williams, Raymond Michael
	DLA Piper US, LLP
Address:	Dla Piper
	1650 Market St Philadelphia, PA 191037301
Phone No:	(215) 656-3368
Representing:	Wyeth, Appellant
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Devereaux, Stephen B.
	King & Spalding, LLC
Address:	1180 Peachtree Street, N.E.
	Atlanta, GA 30309
Phone No:	
Representing:	THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ADVISORY COUNCIL, INC., Appellant Amicus Curiae
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No
Attorney:	Drake, Geoffrey M.
	Pro Hac Vice
Address:	1180 Peachtree Street NE
	Atlanta, GA 30309
Phone No:	(404) 572-4600
Representing:	THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ADVISORY COUNCIL, INC., Appellant Amicus Curiae
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No
Attorney:	Varner, Chilton D.
	Pro Hac Vice
	King & Spalding, LLC
Address:	1180 Peachtree St. NE Atlanta, GA 30309
Representing:	THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ADVISORY COUNCIL, INC., Appellant Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Bashman, Howard Jonathan
Address:	2300 Computer Ave Ste G 22
	Willow Grove, PA 19090
Phone No:	(215) 830-1458
Representing:	Lance, Patsy, Appellee
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 3 of 8

COUNSEL INFORMATION				
Attorney:	Millrood, Tobias Lael			
	Pogust, Braslow & Millrood, L.L.C.			
Address:	Pogust Braslow & Millrood Llc			
	161 Washington St Ste 1520			
Dhana Na	Conshohocken, PA 19428			
Phone No: Representing:	(610) 941-4204 Lance, Patsy, Appellee			
Pro Se:	No			
IFP Status:				
Attorney:	Williams, Michael L.			
	Pro Hac Vice			
	Williams Love O'Leary & Powers, P.C.			
Address:	9755 SW Barnes Rd Suite 450			
	Portland, OR 972256681			
Phone No: Representing:	(503) 295-2924 Lance, Patsy, Appellee			
Pro Se:	No			
IFP Status:				
Attorney:	Rieders, Clifford Alan			
/ domoy.	Rieders, Travis, Humphrey, Harris, Waters & Waffenschmidt			
Address:	161 W Third St			
	Williamsport, PA 17701			
Phone No:	(570) 323-8711			
Representing:	American Association for Justice and Pennsylvania Association for Justice , Appellee Amicus Curiae			
Pro Se:	No			
IFP Status:				



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011

Page 4 of 8

#### January 21, 2014

#### SUPREME COURT INFORMATION

Appeal From: the Judgment of Superior Court entered on 08/02/2010 at No 2905 EDA 2008, (reargument denied on 10/01/2010) affirming in part, reversing in part, and remanding in part the Judgment entered on 09/19/2008 in the Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia County, Civil Division at No. 0926, November Term 2006.

 Probable Jurisdiction
 Noted:
 Docketed Date:
 March 15, 2011

 Allocatur Granted:
 March 15, 2011
 Allocatur Docket No:
 600 EAL 2010

 Allocatur Grant Order:
 AND NOW, this 15th day of March 2011, these Cross Petitions for Allowance of Appeal are GRANTED and CONSOLIDATED. For purposes of briefing and argument, petitioner in No. 600 EAL 2010, Wyeth, shall be listed as appellant, and petitioner in No. 610 EAL 2010, Patsy Lance, shall be listed as cross-appellant.

The issues in No. 600 EAL 2010, as stated by petitioner/appellant Wyeth are:

(1) Whether the Superior Court erred in creating a new claim for □ negligent design defect□ of a prescription drug, despite Plaintiff-Respondent Patsy Lance's repeated waiver of that claim?

(2) Whether the Superior Court's creation of a new cause of action for □ negligent design defect□ conflicts with this Court's settled precedent limiting product liability claims against manufacturers and sellers of prescription drugs?

(3) Whether the Superior Court's creation of a new cause of action for  $\Box$  negligent design defect $\Box$  should properly be argued before this Court because it may affect hundreds or thousands of cases and ignores that: (a) plaintiffs in design defect cases must plead and prove a  $\Box$  feasible alternative design; $\Box$  and (b) there should be deference to regulatory authorities?

The issues in No. 610 EAL 2010, as stated by petitioner/cross-appellant Lance are:

(1) Did the Superior Court err in holding, in an acknowledged conflict with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit's prediction of Pennsylvania law, that Pennsylvania law would not recognize a claim against a prescription drug manufacturer for negligent failure to test to discover a prescription drug's actual harmful side- effects?

(2) Did the Superior Court err in holding that Pennsylvania law would not recognize claims against a manufacturer of a prescription drug, which the federal Food and Drug Administration ultimately ordered withdrawn from the market as too dangerous for any potential users, for negligently marketing that drug and for negligently failing to withdraw that drug from the market?

		FEE INFO	RMATION			
Fee Dt	Fee Name		Fee Amt	Receipt Dt	Receipt No	Receipt Amt
	INI	ERMEDIATE APPELLA	TE COURT	INFORMATIO	N	
Court Name:	Superior		Docket Nu	imber:	2905 EDA 2008	
Date of Order	August 2, 2010		Rearg/Red	con Disp Date:	October 1, 2010	
			Rearg/Red	con Disposition	: Denied	
Judge(s):	Stevens, Correa	le F.				
	Gantman, Susa	n Peikes				
	Allen, Cheryl Ly	าท				
Intermediate Appellate Court Action: Affirmed/Reverse			Remanded			
Referring Cou	rt:					



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 5 of 8

		January	21, 2014
	AGENCY/TRIAL C	OURT INFORMATION	
Court Below:	Philadelphia County Court of Common	Pleas	
County:	Philadelphia	Division: Philadelphia	County Civil Division
Date of Agency/Trial Cou	urt Order: September 19, 2008		
Order Type:	Judgment		
OTN(s):			
Lower Ct Docket No(s):	November Term, 2006 - No. 000926		
Lower Ct Judge(s):	Tereshko, Allan L. Judge		
	ORIGINAL RE	CORD CONTENT	
Original Record Item		Filed Date	Content/Description
1 part & 1 env of exh		March 16, 2011	
Record Remittal:			
	BRIEFING	SCHEDULE	
Appellant		Appellee	
Wyeth		Lance, Patsy	
Brief		Brief	
Due: May 2, 2011	Filed: May 2, 2011	Due: July 6, 2011	Filed: July 6, 2011
Reply Brief		Appellee Amicus Curi	ae
Due: August 18, 2011	Filed: August 18, 2011	American Association for Justice and Pennsylvania Association for Justice	
Reproduced Record		Brief	
Due: May 2, 2011	Filed: May 2, 2011	Due: July 6, 2011	Filed: July 6, 2011
Appellant Amicus Curia THE PRODUCT LIABIL Brief	ae LITY ADVISORY COUNCIL, INC.		
Due: May 2, 2011	Filed: May 2, 2011		
	SESSION II	NFORMATION	
Journal Number:	J-69A-2011		
Listed/Submitted Date:	September 13, 2011		
Consideration Type:	Oral Argument Supreme Ct.		
	DISPOSITION		
Related Journal No:	J-69A-2011	Judgment Date:	January 21, 2014
Category:	Decided	Disposition Author:	Saylor, Thomas G.
Disposition:	Affirmed/Reversed	Disposition Date:	January 21, 2014
Dispositional Filing:	Majority Opinion	Author:	Saylor, Thomas G.
Filed Date:	January 21, 2014		



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 6 of 8

#### January 21, 2014

DISPO	SITION INFORMATION	
Baer, Max	Vote:	Join Majority Opinion
Todd, Debra	Vote:	Join Majority Opinion
McCaffery, Seamus P.	Vote:	Join Majority Opinion
Orie Melvin, Joan	Vote:	Did Not Participate in the Decision
		of the Case
Dissenting Opinion	Author:	Eakin, J. Michael
January 21, 2014		
Castille, Ronald D.	Vote:	Join Dissenting Opinion
	Baer, Max Todd, Debra McCaffery, Seamus P. Orie Melvin, Joan <b>Dissenting Opinion</b> January 21, 2014	Todd, DebraVote:McCaffery, Seamus P.Vote:Orie Melvin, JoanVote:Dissenting OpinionAuthor:January 21, 2014Vote:

	D	OCKET ENTRY		
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By	
March 15, 2011	Allocatur Granted			
			Per Curiam	

Comments:

AND NOW, this 15th day of March 2011, these Cross Petitions for Allowance of Appeal are GRANTED and CONSOLIDATED. For purposes of briefing and argument, petitioner in No. 600 EAL 2010, Wyeth, shall be listed as appellant, and petitioner in No. 610 EAL 2010, Patsy Lance, shall be listed as cross-appellant. The issues in No. 600 EAL 2010, as stated by petitioner/appellant Wyeth are:

(1) Whether the Superior Court erred in creating a new claim for □ negligent design defect□ of a prescription drug, despite Plaintiff-Respondent Patsy Lance's repeated waiver of that claim?

(2) Whether the Superior Court's creation of a new cause of action for □ negligent design defect□ conflicts with this Court's settled precedent limiting product liability claims against manufacturers and sellers of prescription drugs?

(3) Whether the Superior Court's creation of a new cause of action for  $\Box$  negligent design defect $\Box$  should properly be argued before this Court because it may affect hundreds or thousands of cases and ignores that: (a) plaintiffs in design defect cases must plead and prove a  $\Box$  feasible alternative design; $\Box$  and (b) there should be deference to regulatory authorities?

The issues in No. 610 EAL 2010, as stated by petitioner/cross-appellant Lance are:

(1) Did the Superior Court err in holding, in an acknowledged conflict with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit's prediction of Pennsylvania law, that Pennsylvania law would not recognize a claim against a prescription drug manufacturer for negligent failure to test to discover a prescription drug's actual harmful side-effects?

(2) Did the Superior Court err in holding that Pennsylvania law would not recognize claims against a manufacturer of a prescription drug, which the federal Food and Drug Administration ultimately ordered withdrawn from the market as too dangerous for any potential users, for negligently marketing that drug and for negligently failing to withdraw that drug from the market?

March 16, 2011	Superior Ct. Record Received	. Record Received		
			Superior Court of Pennsylvania	
May 2, 2011	Appellant's Brief			
		Appellant	Wyeth	

Neither the Appellate Courts nor the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts assumes any liability for inaccurate or delayed data, errors or omissions on the docket sheets.



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 7 of 8

		oundary 2	, 2014
	DO	CKET ENTRY	
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
May 2, 2011	Reproduced Record Filed		
		Appellant	Wyeth
May 2, 2011	Appellant Amicus Curiae Brief		
		Appellant Amicus Curiae	THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ADVISORY COUNCIL, INC.
May 20, 2011	Application for Extension of Time	to File Brief - First Request	
Way 20, 2011		Appellee	Lance, Patsy
Comments: (UNOPPOSED - for	30 days - until 07/06/2011)		
May 25, 2011	Order Granting Application for Ex	tension of Time to File Appelle	e Brief
			Person, John W., Jr.
	25th day of May, 2011, Uncon ellant is GRANTED. Appellee/Cross-Ap	-	
May 25, 2011	Order Exited		
			Office of the Prothonotary
July 6, 2011	Appellee Amicus Curiae Brief		
		Appellee Amicus Curiae	American Association for Justice and Pennsylvania Association for Justice
July 6, 2011	Appellee's Brief		
		Appellee	Lance, Patsy
July 19, 2011	Application for Extension of Time	to File Brief - First Request Appellant	Wyeth
Comments: (For 30 days - until (	09/08/2011)		
July 27, 2011	Order Regarding Application for E	xtension of Time to File Brief	
Commonto			Person, John W., Jr.
	27th day of July, 2011, Unoppose Cross-Appellee is GRANTED in par		of Time to File Reply Brief for Cross-Appellee is due on or before
July 27, 2011	Order Exited		Office of the Direthonetery
			Office of the Prothonotary
August 1, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument N Lance, Patsy	otice Appellee	Bashman, Howard Jonathan
	-		



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 17 EAP 2011 Page 8 of 8

### January 21, 2014

	DOC	CKET ENTRY	
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
August 5, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument No American Association for Justice and Pennsylvania Association for Justice	tice Appellee Amicus Curiae	Rieders, Clifford Alan
August 10, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument No Wyeth	<b>tice</b> Appellant	Williams, Raymond Michael
August 11, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument No Lance, Patsy	o <b>tice</b> Appellee	Millrood, Tobias Lael
August 15, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument No Wyeth	<b>tice</b> Appellant	Leone, Judy L.
August 18, 2011	Appellant's Reply Brief	Appellant	Wyeth
August 25, 2011	Acknowledgement of Argument No Lance, Patsy	<b>tice</b> Appellee	Williams, Michael L.
September 13, 2011	Argued		Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
July 9, 2013	Rule 2501(a) Application for Leave	to Submit Supplemental Auth Appellant	n <b>ority</b> Wyeth
July 26, 2013	Answer in Opposition to Applicatio	on for Leave to Submit Supple Appellee	emental Authority Lance, Patsy
January 21, 2014	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary
January 21, 2014	Affirmed/Reversed		Saylor, Thomas G.
Comments: The order of the Su	uperior Court is affirmed in part and rev	versed in part, per the terms of	f this opinion.
Mr. Justice Baer, M	e Melvin did not participate in the decis Aadame Justice Todd, and Mr. Justice iles a dissenting opinion in which Mr. C	McCaffery join the opinion.	

Office of the Prothonotary

#### **CROSS COURT ACTIONS**

Docket Number:	18 EAP 2011
Docket Number:	202 ET 2010
Docket Number:	2905 EDA 2008
Docket Number:	600 EAL 2010
Docket Number:	610 EAL 2010